UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,744	04/01/2004	Hector Coronado	PA5346	5096
	7590 10/01/200 & LERNER, LLP	EXAMINER		
1875 CENTUR	Y PARK EAST	WENDELL, MARK R		
SUITE 1150 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@kleinberglerner.com mkleinberg@kleinberglerner.com mlerner@kleinberglerner.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/813,744	CORONADO, HECTOR	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARK R. WENDELL	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Note: A street the street of this communication. NOONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3D ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 32-41 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 64-72 is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 32-41 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 64-72 are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the application of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the application of the application o	awn from consideration. //or election requirement. ner.	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application -	

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 64-72 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The examiner has examiner claims directed to a construction module with a predetermined curvature of 0 (or planar). The applicant has also elected Species I directed to the invention of Figure 1 which also has a predetermined curvature of 0 (see applicant's response from 7/2/2007).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 64-72 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 32-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klasell (US 5439749). Regarding claim 32, Klasell illustrates in Figures 1 and 3 a construction module comprising:

- A top and bottom panel (25 and 27) having and outer and inner surface and having a first plurality of thin sheet laminate layers bonded together. The examiner notes that in claim 2 of the prior art, it is stated that the layers are a composite board. It also states in column 5, lines 26-29 the composite board can be a high-density particle board, medium-density fiberboard, hardboard or other suitable wood composite material. This description generally includes composite wood structures as plywood and OSB which inherently have a layered composition, therefore the top layer has a laminate layered structure; and
- An intermediate panel (29) having an upper and lower surface and defining a cavity (see Figure 1 where the intermediate panel defines a cavity in which the center window of the door is placed), the intermediate panel having a plurality of laminate layers bonded together.

The examiner also notes that the newly added limitation "having a predetermined curvature" is met by the prior art since it is stated throughout the specification that the structure is made from planar components. Since the structure is made from planar components, the predetermined curvature of the module is 0. The claim does not distinctly state that the predetermined curvature is non-zero. As

Application/Control Number: 10/813,744

Art Unit: 3635

indicated above; if the claim language of claims 32-41 is altered to disclose a non-zero curvature, the claims would be withdrawn under election by original presentation and under the election of species 1 (Figure 1) set forth in the response by applicant on 7/2/2007 and subsequently withdrawing all claims of the application.

Regarding claims 33-35, Klasell discloses layers being made from laminated veneer lumber (LVL) for decorative and waterproofing means (Column 1, lines 30-35, and Column 3, lines 34).

Regarding claims 36-38, Klasell discloses throughout the specification the top, bottom and intermediate layers being bonded to one another in such a manner:

- The inner surface of the top panel being bonded to the upper surface of the intermediate panel;
- The inner surface of the bottom panel being bonded to the lower surface of the intermediate panel (See Figure 3 also).

Regarding claims 39-41, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify Klasell by having the panels having the same number of laminate layers, since the applicant has not disclosed that producing the panels with the same number of laminate layers solves any stated problem or produces any unexpected results and it appears that the structure would perform equally well with the panels having different numbers of layers. However, the examiner notes

Art Unit: 3635

that the 3 panels could be made from the same material as the prior art suggests that the panels and the core could be made from fiberboard or other suitable wood composite products (see column 5, lines 26-29 and column 6, lines 1-8). Therefore, if the panels were made of the same material, which could be the case as described above, the number of layers within the panels would be the same.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK R. WENDELL whose telephone number is (571)270-3245. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30AM-5PM, Alt. Fri off, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,744 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635

/M. R. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3635 September 16, 2009